

CBJ Assembly -- Committee of the Whole Meeting  
October 10, 2016  
Informal Transcript

6:14:52

Draft Fireworks Ordinance

Rorie - Mr Chair we have provided a draft fireworks ordinance for your review prior to going out and soliciting public comment and the intent here tonight is that the assembly agree that this is the starting point of where we are for now not to indicate that this is a finished draft or set in stone but it is a starting point as we begin our public process.

6:15:33

Jesse - And the shape of that public process

6:15:37

Amy - We were asked to hold a couple of public meetings they have been scheduled there is one currently on the books for October 19, which I believe is a week from today, no a week from next Wednesday here in Chambers at 6pm and then the following Monday October 24 at 6pm there is a public meeting scheduled for Valley library

It's my understanding it was at Assembly's direction that staff lead the meetings with Assembly members attending as they can so we will facilitate an ability for people to express their opinions both verbally and likely some other survey quick survey type of way for people that don't want to speak they can make their feelings understood their positions understood.

6:16:27

Jesse - Thank you Mrs. Becker

6:16:36

Becker – Are we planning to get recommendations for these public meetings because we have an ordinance in front of us.

Amy – Yes it was my understanding that's what we were charged with to take the draft ordinance understanding that it hasn't been formerly introduced yet but I believe it was written in conjunction with the direction from the assembly last time we met to have that available likely will break it down into its component parts and have people be able to express whether they like part A, part B, the whole thing, I haven't quite gotten that piece of it lined out yet and I would imagine there will be people who will want to express their opinions in some sort of a hearing I guess for lack of a better word although it's not really a hearing it's just an opportunity for them to comment and if you want to give us more specific direction than that very happy to try to accommodate.

6:17:36 Jesse – Ms White

White – ok um, correct me if I'm wrong but I thought when we put forward to put together an ordinance it was basically just dealing with times and places not how many grams of something weighs or I don't remember anything being on the actual type of fireworks.

6:18:02

Amy – There was discussion that they be Salable fireworks and that what you see in the ordinance is the definition from state law for salable fireworks if 're going have a fireworks ordinance we have to define it in some ways so that was the direction I received.

White- hum

Jesse – follow-up? Ms. Gladziszewski then Becker

Maria – My question is about salable fireworks so this this definition is what's in state law?

Amy – Correct

Maria - So, does this, is this just, it's hard to know, I don't know what all these words are so it just the things on here that go swish not the things that go boom? Or are what are these things are the big ones that go boom?

Amy – One of things I couldn't tell you which but one of the things are – anything that is capable of being sold in Alaska is on this list, um, there are my understanding was that at this stage that was what you wanted to see in the ordinance, other jurisdictions do make distinctions based on the intensity of the firework but I hadn't received any direction on that yet.

Maria – So you don't which ones are the ones that go up in the sky and make pretty colors (laughing)

Amy – if the assembly wanted the ordinance to make a distinction based on the intensity of fireworks there is other language I would pull from, other jurisdictions that nicely describe that I probably wouldn't use the states definition as a starting point. So if the policy direction make that distinction then you might see a different, it might be defined in a different way

Maria – Well, like Ms White I think the policy direction that we gave at that time was not to make a distinction but it looked to me by reading these things that we were making a distinction, I'm trying to understand what is included in this definition.

Amy – This includes everything, every type of consumer firework that is capable of being sold in Alaska so Alaska law only defines two types of firework dangerous fireworks and salable fireworks. Salable are the only ones people can use without a state permit. This ordinance allows everything that is allowed under state law.

6:20:22 Jesse – I have Mrs Becker followed by Mr Jones

Becker – I completely agree with Ms White and Ms Gladziszewski we did not distinguish the type of fireworks we only did the time of the day and the dates they were allowed. We did not say they had to be something sold in Alaska or salable or anything like that, like we didn't touch the type of fireworks in my remembrance anyway.

Amy – That actually, we can't allow something that is not allowed by state law per state law so by saying you want to allow fireworks in Alaska this is the most you can do and in order to put people on notice what they can and can't do we have to include a definition then, this is the broadest thing that you could possibly allow as far as fireworks the type, this is the broadest definition you could use so there needed to be a definition

6:21:20

Becker - then we have been illegal all these years when we haven't specified anything and people have been just putting off whatever they want to cause that's what's been happening it's not like we ever said this anywhere

Amy – But state law already makes this distinction and we haven't had a fireworks ordinance on the book, in Title 19 we've had, we had limitations on fireworks and its referenced back but we have not had a fireworks ordinance on the books if you want to have a fireworks ordinance on the books we need to define it and my understanding is that you did not want to do, you did not want to limit the type of fireworks that were allowed so this is without limitations and this is everything someone could possibly use under state law there allowed to use and the only reason we haven't had it on the books before is because we didn't have a fireworks ordinance.

6:22:15 Jesse Mr. Jones

Jones – I just want to remind the assembly Aug 15<sup>th</sup> the motion that Ms Becker made was to ask staff to draft an ordinance for continued committee review that contained the following points from Mrs Cosgrove memo, use of salable fireworks is limited to the following conditions it lists the dates, times and then it was amended to change the dates and the times but the memo said use of salable fireworks and in my review of the state statutes what's included in here is the definition of salable under state law if you want to operate any other kinds of fireworks you need a state permit and those are defined as dangerous fireworks, so those would be the one that the 4<sup>th</sup> of July committee sets off or those that gets set off at Eaglecrest but these are the only ones a person in Alaska can actually purchase in Alaska if we were allowed sales and a wholesaler has to get a permit from the state to sell it, so if the persons in Juneau are using fireworks other than this then they have obtained it illegally under state law

Becker – my mistake for not asking what that word meant as opposed to fireworks because I would not have put that in the motion had I known until you explained it to me but (edited from 10/13/16)

Amy – If your intent is to be as broad as possible you could not be broader than this

6:24:11 Jesse – Ms White

White - Thank you Mr Chair Ms Mead you know I am a person who prefers the less words the better um doesn't the way this is written to then basically copy what state law says if state law changes then we have to go and amend our ordinance correct? So why can't we just say you know salable fireworks means the 1.4G as defined by National Fire Protection Association period and not have the additional more than a full page of stuff in there to define it?

Amy – you can certainly reference state law we've done that before I was taking my Q as a starting point from what you did with the civil rights ordinance where you wanted the full, you did not want to reference back to a case in that situation or state law you wanted the definition and explanation to be in our code you can go either way.

White – okay that was my first question my second one was going on to the 6701090 prohibited uses and how did firearms get in the middle of this?

Amy – this is already on the books the problem is if you want to allow fireworks which would presumably be allowed on CBJ property as well cause you didn't direct it otherwise that code section was somewhat in conflict with that idea so we had to address it in that code section which is already on the books.

White – ok thank you

Continuing transcription 10 /14/16

6:25:53 Jesse Ms Gladziszewski

Maria – I think I have a question for the police chief someone who knows if an officer can know what does or guess get a class or something know what these things are and whether you have one that is on this list you should already be doing that I guess since the state law but how do we know whether it's a salable fireworks or not and maybe also he knows which one of these things is pretty in the air verses... (laughter)

Bryce - We don't have a list where we would check salable not salable but in general what you do is look at how the stuff is packaged so if something is packaged for sale it's going to be a salable firework ummm I'm not guessing you all bought fireworks but when you buy them they come in the packaging for sale so that's what you would look for but we don't offer training of the officers of every last thing that is salable or not salable they may have to pull it out and look but that's usually not the issue we do not see that we are aware of non-salable fireworks being shot off in the community those are very difficult to get they require special permits it's difficult to get those things um so while this definition is pretty extensive the practicality in the field is what the people have is salable fireworks it would be pretty obvious if they were having something that was commercial grade something that is being shot off at the barge those things are pretty easy to identify.

Maria – Do different states have different definitions of salable like could you get a different salable fireworks in Connecticut than in Indiana?

Bryce – I'm sure they regulate them differently yah

Maria – so that's what I mean could you bring in something that you bought in Indiana for example I'm just wondering how you know

6:27:57

Amy – so most states reference back to the alcohol what's it that Federal borough that deals with this stuff but as I said before some states do differentiate between as you said the ones that go boom and other types and they characterize that in different ways in their codes but I think they all start from this place as far as having to define them with reference back to the federal code

Maria – and if you would because Ms Causgrove's initial memo talked about ground cones verses blow up things and that's not really in here that I see at some point you had kind of a categories Ms Cosgrove did is that in here somewhere?

??? (cosgrove) – that wasn't the direction from the assembly so no you wouldn't see that in here I don't know if the chief can draw a distinction just by looking at the descriptions or not but the ground verses aerial was what we were originally which came out of the working group

Maria – but that's there's not that distinction in here?

??? (whisper White possibly) – but it is clear

Maria – I know but I didn't know if there was one of these categories is the ground one and one of these categories is in the sky one – nobody knows

Bryce – there all included in there but no one of us knows enough about say which is which we would have to go to a separate definition than what we said and redefine it if you want to do it that way

Maria – and the reason I am asking is we have a lot of complaints about things that go boom in neighborhoods especially in dense neighborhoods if there is a way to say in you know in D10 and D15 in dense neighborhoods where you're very close to your neighbor whose doing something in your driveway what is the one that we would pick that we would say don't do those in D10 and D15 and mixed use I mean in those densely packed neighborhoods

Amy – so I can say yes that is possible doo but no I could not tell you which of these subsections we would pull out and define that way

Maria – ok thank you

6:30:20 Jesse Mr Mayor

Mayor – um Mr Chair is our objective tonight to make changes at all to this or ask questions about it?

Jesse – ahhh the objective tonight is to get our questions answered about it so that we have some understanding before we hear from the public on the 19<sup>th</sup> & the 24<sup>th</sup>

Mayor – ok my problem is that I'm still suck on possession before I get any further on that and I don't necessary want this to even go out at that stage unless we deal with the possession portion of it because I mean you know is it coming back to the COW or is it going on to the assembly after the hearings

Jesse – Madam attorney

Amy – I want to point out that I took the liberty of doing one thing to try because the last meeting the assembly seemed to be concerned with a comment that Lt Campbell made about the officers ability to take action when they respond to a complaint and there isn't any indication or probable cause if you will to decide who exactly was doing the illegal act and so the comment was that um if there was a prohibition on possession it would allow them to at least cease the firework so if you will notice for example in subsection B2 (Maria asked what page) Amy - the first page...so the way its set up both in subsection A then in B2 it talks about using exploding etc. by a person or where a person allows that to occur on premises under his or her control. That eliminates the need for the officer to figure out which person in a group actually lit the firework it makes the home owner responsible or the tenant or the person in control of the property, this is the same thing we do with litter is illegal under our code and if there is a let's say you have a rental property next to a house and the rental property is throwing garbage at the house next door the person in control of the rental property is responsible under our code for that litter this does the same thing. I did that so that if you are uncomfortable with possession it still gets at Lt Campbell's concerns without the need of having possession although you could keep both things certainly

Mayor – I am concerned about the verbiage of possession so I guess I am going back to the question of are we going to try to amend this before we send it out for public hearing or are we going to send it out for public hearing is it coming back to COW and if that's the

Jesse – on that topic Ms Gladziszewski

Maria – I mean I would just tell you what I would vote I think if we can send something closer to what we believe in it would be better than this, send out send out what the assembly's thinking at this point but um

Jesse – at this point we have, at this point we have a draft and certainly it would be in order to put those changes to it um our discussion at the last meeting was that we wanted to bring a draft forward and hear from the public before we made changes if members want to go a different direction we can certainly do that this evening that there is nothing that would stop us so um it had been my intention to get our questions answered and go hear from the public but the floor is open

6:34:44 Jesse - Ms Gladziszewski

Maria – I have one I'm sure the Mayor has one. My concern is about I like the direction of this in general you know allowed for a few days not every day of the year um but I do know people who live right next door to people who are blowing off fireworks and its right next door the deck is between me and Ms Becker and that just seems really close to um endure so is there something we can do to allow the ground kind and firecrackers that sort of thing but not the big rockets in close neighborhoods (clap clap clap clap clap)

clap clap clap) that's what I would hope we could try for. I don't know which one of these they are but I'm talking about shootie-offie kind. Is there any support for that besides me?

Jesse – Ms Gladziszewski I wonder um if there is another approach and Mr Mayor if it would satisfy um if there are potential changes we would like to ask the attorney to draft so that the public can see this draft ordinance as well as a sheet with Ms Gladziszewski proposed change, sheet with the Mayors proposed change and then the public can comment on all of those together, would that be a constructive way to move forward?

Jesse – Ms White

White – um before we go into deciding where each type of fireworks could be let off, my concern would be the burden that it places on the police department because generally it's something that's already blown up by the time they get there and then if they are trying to figure out what kinds of fireworks were lit um it just seems like it puts JPD in a difficult position so I would actually like to get Chief Bryce ahhh Chief Johnsons haa haa sorry I'm not trying to change your name it's how I remember your name ha ha ha ha so I mean how would that lets say that it was like that you could have this kind in one place and this kind in another what does that do to your side of things.

Bryce – so I will offer my opinion. The way it is now it takes up a lot of staff time because about half of, similar to the conversation, about half the public thinks that it shouldn't be happening about half the public is doing it and so the people that don't want it, their calling, and so for example and I was trying to pull these exact numbers but I was working on the 4<sup>th</sup> of July and the call start coming in about 1, 2 in the afternoon by about 5,6 in the afternoon every single police officer that was on duty was on a fireworks call and that is a really poor use of resources, especially on the 4<sup>th</sup> of July which is a lot of stuff going on so currently because we have to go, is it a noise violation, is not a noise violation, that is taking up a lot of resources so the clearer direction you can give us will reduce the amount of resources we have to put to fireworks, so any clear direction you give, will reduce the amount, I think, of response we have because then we can tell people **NO** of the face, for example if you passed this one, if you passed it as it is now, and someone called 4 o'clock in the afternoon on the 4<sup>th</sup> of July we would say ***fireworks are legal, we're not coming were doing other things*** and that would be tremendously helpful, at that point whatever you do for clear direction. Um now there are things you could do to make it easier after that, but it would be more difficult to distinguish between different types, but we can do that were good at what we do, we could figure that out um and that would still be better than how we are trying to now. Does that make sense how I'm trying to explain it? So if it's straight out ban of all fireworks that would be very easy to enforce because we know if anyone's going out there um they shouldn't be and we can go do enforcement. If you want to distinguish between the ones that leave the ground and fountain ones I think we can, it makes it a little bit harder because we got to go out and figure which kind it is but that's not an unreasonable thing to figure out, so you make it a little bit harder but we could probably do that, if you decide to go with different zones, makes it a little bit harder for us to figure out a little bit harder a little bit harder for the public to know what the law is so it makes it further on that continuum, it's still better than what's taking place right now, as far as police resources. Fireworks as I can explain them, they are not in my opinion a public safety problem, if it was a public safety problem you would Chief Etheredge talking here to you about all the fires and the public safety hazards to them. A The fireworks are ah ah ah ah ah ah the quality of life issue, about what kind of quality of life people want and what they want to do un and so we will enforce however you want us to its not going to change the public safety opinion of it, when it becomes a public safety problem is when every officer in town is on a fireworks call and that's what we would like to stop having happen.

White – I guess that's the thing is I want to keep it simple for them so they are not trying to figure out what we do here in this neighborhood what we do here in this place and um but I am not willing to go

anywhere near the complete ban um so but if you think you could differentiate I guess I would be kind of willing to look at that but would I vote for it so

6:40:56 Jesse- Ms Mead

Amy - there is a difference, your questions sometimes go to two different issues, one is the ability of the police to respond and the other is a prosecution issue, so for example what Chief Johnson just explained would be the case it's a lot easier if there were a complete ban during certain times so that when someone makes a call and it's during an allowed time, dispatch can say no I am sorry it's not illegal right now and if it's during an illegal time it's very easy for an officer to go and deal with the situation. With what you're talking about with making the distinction between the types, the distinction would be between the big blowup kind verses the kind on the ground the way the police enforce that is oh neighbor says you are shooting off the big blow up kind in the sky, neighbor here is willing to sign a citizen complaint form ok now it's a violation that's prosecutable that is creates an issue for the police because they are not saying yes or no depending on very black and white times but its enforceable and its possible so I want you to understand the difference between the prosecution side verse the burden with enforcement side

Jesse – Ms Mead do you have enough clarity from Ms Gladzisaewski requests that you can draft a possible change

Amy – what I plan to do, many jurisdictions down south make this distinction so what my plan would be would be to rely on to look to there for guidance and I will make the same distinction between the big blow up in the sky ones verses the other types

Maria – that's great and again just to see it and I don't even know, I would like to hear what people think

Jesse – Mr Jones

Jones – um doesn't Anchorage has aa definition that is um limits what salable ones can be used within the municipality of Anchorage right and mostly that's ground verses aerial

Amy- potentially Anchorages is more technical than my understanding which is that could be how they distinguish it yes. I understand the direction unless it's going to be limited to certain residential zones in which case I will need to hear if that's the case but right now I understand the distinction I don't know I can't tell you right now what it's going to look like, it might look just like Anchorages or it might look like one somewhere else but thankyou I will look there again.

Jesse – Mr Mayor did you have a proposed change

Mayor – I have two. One is possession and I think Ms Mead already addressed that she can deal with that, the other one is exemptions. I would like to see some sort of mechanism where someone could apply for an exemption and be able to you know 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of state hood or 25<sup>th</sup> anniversary of state hood on Jan 3<sup>rd</sup> falls outside the parameters is there a method a mechanism to have an exception.

Jesse – Ms Mead

Amy – so this already builds in permits or licensing for example issued by the state what this does not do if you want to go there create that administrative piece so if you want to allow a permit I will need to know things like who is going to administer that and what are the parameters for approving it and then there needs to be like an appeal process.

Mayor – I would at least like to have something to look at with that idea

Jesse – Mr Jones

Jones – the question I would ask of the Mayor’s proposal is you’re talking about a personal exemption as opposed to commercial exemption. So if the CBJ or the 4<sup>th</sup> of July committee or Eaglecrest decided on January 3<sup>rd</sup> because it’s whatever they applied for a commercial license to shoot off commercial, what you are talking about here is, that if I want to shoot off fireworks in my driveway on the 3<sup>rd</sup> of January, I would have to apply for a permit?

Mayor – correct

Jones – that’s interesting >

Jesse Ms Gladziszewski

Maria- it’s sort of what the Parks and PRAC recommended, you know, some kind of permit issued by somebody they suggesting the Parks Rec. for Parks but you’re talking about, you’re talking the city would issue for somebody’s party.

Jesse – further changes , Ms White<

White – just a quick comment. I think if any city office were to issue the type of permit um perhaps it could be issued by the police or the fire department since they are the ones that are going to deal with the phone calls when they come in. I means somebody comes in and applies and maybe you guys can figure out they got to have their neighbors with in this far ,ok it, or it has to be in a Park, 200’ from houses, I guess, I am trying to imagine what this permit would look like, I don’t think it is something that would go to community development un I mean, I guess that would be something for the city manager to figure out, who could do it, but I would hate to see it go to community development I know, I just got that look you just gave me something else to do, I know, but just a thought there

Jesse – Mr Manager

Rorie – we need some kind of guidance here um what would be allowable exception if there is an exception process it’s difficult to imagine how and when that could be easy to grant and administer and not allow. I could foresee that if there is an exception process that would allow what I’ll call a homeowner use for special event if we were put in a position of trying to decide when one person’s 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary is valid, another person’s celebrating 37.5 anniversary, if state hood isn’t so I think you can appreciate that’s going to be a very difficult thing for staff to decide, in all likely hood it would be difficult to deny special exception applications.

Jesse – Mr Mayor

Mayor – But at the same time we do close the street in front of the capital through a process an administrative process through the police department correct?

Rorie – thru street closures we do review those

Mayor – and do you ever deny them?<

Rorie – occasionally, I can’t think of a time we did but I imagine there would be some reason we would but I can’t think of one that we have in the last couple years.

Mayor – so there is a process that can be worked through.

Jesse - I have two assembly members lined up to speak Madam Attorney

Amy – I just want, yes I can do a permit process, but based on this conversation, I want to clarify that I do not think that there could ever be a case where we could say no because its allowed at some point. so street closures happens sometimes for public safety issues that’s one of the main reasons, that’s not going

to be case here so, there is not a way to deny, there is a way to require if someone wants to use fireworks outside of allowable times, require them to have a permit we can do that.

Jesse I have Ms Gladziszewski Ms Becker

Maria – It's on my original point so if Ms Becker want to talk about this point

Becker – I just wanted to say that it sounded like you would probably be approving a group or would you be approving an individual who wants to celebrate his wife's birthday which I've already heard a request for, so I hope you don't leave that out, I mean when you have a group that's going to walk down the street, it's a group right. Its someone there doing some kind of democratic process but when someone wants to celebrate their wife's birthday or their kids birthday I don't we can give even with a permit I don't think we can start giving everybody those kinds of rights or we will be right back where we are now. With it happening everywhere

Rorie – and so that's my fear so if there is an exception process a 50<sup>th</sup> wedding anniversary sounds good and what about a 40<sup>th</sup> wedding anniversary and what about my daughter graduating from medical school and what about my son made the honor roll

Becker – and I made it through another day

Mayor – I feel that way when Seahawks win

Rorie - another day without a fireworks exception permit

Bryce – often we get are tied to an event like that the last one was a they were letting off fireworks and they let off burning candles that they put up in the air, it was a big event. The one that got most of the attention it was a birthday party where we actually made an arrest in that case that was a birthday party, most the ones we get complaints on are events like you're talking about those the ones we get the most complaints on when we respond.

6:52:32 Jesse Madam Gladziszewski

Maria – yes if we are done with this point for a second, my request about the blow up kind, I was originally talking about in certain zones, D1 – D whatever, Ms White mentioned feet, we could do something like that and say, she said 200 feet, so you could blow up one if you were 200 feet from another house regardless of the zone, or some number of feet

Amy – I think that would be very difficult

Maria – harder than the D1 –D

Amy – yes

Jesse – Ms White

White – So back to this idea of permits, other times somebody could do it and the last thing I wanted to bring up was the idea of pigeon holing everybody that wants to blow off fireworks has to go to X place but maybe for the outside the designated times which I still think needs one more tweak on them maybe for that 50<sup>th</sup> wedding anniversary or that 10<sup>th</sup> birthday or whatever it is that we are going to allow maybe that's where those need to be in a designated place , any traction on that with anybody any thoughts where if you were going to do it at a separate time, not everybody can take a boat out to shelter island or whatever got to think about

Maria – Eaglecrest go to Eaglecrest>

White – yah like if they could go to Eaglecrest on these special, it has to be somewhere you can drive to but there has to be somewhere and granted that's still not the same as somebody getting to blow them off in their driveway but once again not everybody likes fireworks, nobody likes them at the random Wednesday at 2 o'clock in the morning but some people really do not like them at all so we got to minimize that to some extent, not eliminate, minimize but for these other events there's got to be some maybe that's where the designated place could come in

Jesse – Mr Mayor I'll look your direction because it was your request initially do you have thoughts on that?

Mayor – I do I think that's better than nothing and it's you know be apparent that ah we would have a whole lot more work to try to put something together but I think that's the process that were in right now to, I do think that there has to be some place where you can have an exemption and be able to pull this off

Jesse – Madam Attorney

Amy - So are you envisioning a permit and a designated area or just a designated area for the outside times

Mayor – I don't know how much I have to compromise - (wicked laughter from ken white...) but you asked for our input at this particular junction and that's what we are here to do and I think throwing out ideas and trying to come up something that accommodates the public is what we are here for. So I would rather have a process that you could go through and you know get a permit to do this but if it has to be confined to a certain area then as a fall back that's where I would go.

Jesse – Ms Gladziszewski

Maria – I have one idea for the Mayor about his permit and that is you don't decide whether you get it for your 40<sup>th</sup> anniversary or 39<sup>th</sup> but you get one a year then that's it you don't have to decide a household could get one or something that's it or otherwise every week a person could say I have a 40<sup>th</sup> anniversary, I have a birthday and I have a whoosie and I have

Jesse – Ms Gladziszewski is that something we need to see staff draft before we take it out to the public or is that

Maria – no I'm just trying to figure out a way for a permit that's not burdensome

Jesse – recognizing that it's not specific Madam attorney do you have enough of a shape that you can draft something available for the public to comment on and it's my, the vision here is will give the people something to tell us what they think right that the public there are a lot of folks who care deeply about this I expect to hear from them on the 19 and on the 24<sup>th</sup> and folks have ideas and they may tell us why change X doesn't work or could work if we just tweaked this one thing so I don't, I want to be wary about getting to deep into the details here before we hear from the public

Amy – So I will let um, somewhat um, I don't that there is criteria that we could establish, as I said before that I think it's always going to be a yes, I think it's just in order to do it you need a permit but you get to do it. Is there a fee that you want to charge for the permits? (lots of humming)

Amy – I could just, I could just cite to a fee (nervous laughter) in general to cover just the administrative general costs and I will let the manager just decide which department is going to administer it and I am good to go (lots of nervous sniffs, laughter, chuckles noise going on)

Jesse – Ok Mr Jones

Jones – just one comment um you the um Ms Cosgrove said that the two public meetings were the 19<sup>th</sup> and the 24<sup>th</sup>? The 19<sup>th</sup> is also the Parks and Rec Master Plan public meeting at Centennial hall you were aware of that?

Cosgrove ? - I am aware of that Mr Jones unfortunately if we want to try to fit the public meetings in given the availability of conference rooms etc. and the timely of availability of staff that sort of what we are left with in order to get something back and coordinated before the COW meeting on the 31<sup>st</sup> I am aware of that but I also believe there's multiple opportunities for people to go to the PRAC master plan planning meetings

Jones – and I was at the one at the UAS and I was the only one there besides staff so (laughs)

Cosgrove – so there's two opportunities on fireworks as well

Jones – I understand Ok thanks

6:59:38

Jesse – seeing nothing further on this topic look forward to hearing from the public